



◀ OUR VISION ▶

WORKING TOGETHER FOR

A BRIGHTER FUTURE

A BETTER BARNSELY

Health and Safety Report 2017/2018



CLEAR VISION AND VALUES • CUSTOMER FOCUS • COMMERCIAL AND BUSINESS ACUMEN • EFFECTIVE DELIVERY OF PROJECTS AND PROGRAMMES • INNOVATIVE AND MANAGED RISK TAKING • LEARNING ORGANISATION • LEADERS AT EVERY LEVEL • FLEXIBLE WORKFORCE • WORKING TOGETHER • ENABLING OTHERS

Executive Summary

The year April 2017 to March 2018 has seen further improvements in the Council's health and safety performance and also the implications of challenges faced in delivery of these services and maintenance of this performance. Positive indicators seen in 2017/2018 are shown below (with comparative data for 2016/2017 shown in parentheses):

- A decrease in accidents reported to 148 (151) accidents (accompanied by a maintained reporting rate of around 100%)
- The majority (83.9%) of audits show a satisfactory level of compliance with the Council's governance arrangements for health and safety
- The Council's RIDDOR reportable accident performance when compared to national statistics remaining favourable
- An improvement in compliance with requirements to develop risk assessments to 76% (74%) – (83% (77%) corporately and 60% (69%) in schools)
- A decrease in reported incidents of violence and aggression to 158 (205)
- A decrease in days lost due to incidents of violence and aggression to 57 (69)
- A decrease in the total number of employer's liability claims to 21 (23) with 15 (11) related to accidents and 6 (12) to work related ill health

However, some negative indicators are also seen:

- An increase in days lost due to accidents to 564 (323) with an increase in the associated costs
- An increase in RIDDOR recordable accidents with 16 (14) over three day injuries; and an increase in RIDDOR reportable accidents with 15 (7) over seven day injuries and 1 (0) specified injuries
- An under-reporting of near miss accidents and lower level incidents of violence and aggression

Overall a number of opportunities for improvements exist with these outlined below along with proposals to address them:

Priority in 2018/2019	Action required in 2018/2019
1. All Business Units and/or Services will implement the Council's Occupational Health and Safety Management System to a standard that would meet the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service's "Good" rating upon audit, with an increased good rating of 90%.	The audit programme will continue during 2018/2019 and each previous audit has produced a prioritised plan of action to allow this target to be met. This target requires Business Units and services to implement the Council's occupational health and safety management system in a proportionate manner to the risks they face.
2. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will produce all required risk assessments.	This target requires Business Units and services to implement the Council's occupational health and safety management system in a proportionate manner to the risks they face.
3. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will have an action plan to implement the health and safety competencies detailed in Section 8 of the Corporate Health and Safety Policy.	When implementing the Council's performance and development review process, services should include necessary competencies relating to health and safety. Training that supports achievement of this is provided by the

	Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service.
4. Reporting of near misses is far lower than reasonably expected.	The need for near miss reporting is included in the training provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service and the Council's occupational health and safety management system. It is proposed to run a specific campaign relating to the reporting of both near misses and incidents of violence and aggression during 2018/2019.
5. The majority of reported accidents have basic causes indicating the need to focus on basic health and safety management.	Risk management is the holistic aim of the Council's occupational health and safety management system and requires. Implementation of the system should support services to identify and control risks.
6. Reports of violence and aggression account for the majority of incidents reported.	The need for violence and aggression reporting is included in the training provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service and the Council's occupational health and safety management system. It is proposed to run a specific campaign relating to the reporting of both near misses and incidents of violence and aggression during 2018/2019.
7. To develop and implement an action plan to address the opportunities for improvement in the Employee Survey 2017	There is a need to both ensure the competence of employees (see 3 above) and also ensure that all employees at all levels contribute to making health and safety a core priority. An action plan and campaign will be developed and implemented in 2018/2019 to challenge all staff to think about how they contribute raising health and safety standards.

Despite these negatives, reflecting the Council's overall performance in this area, the Council has not experienced any formal enforcement action by the Health and Safety Executive or South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue and achieved the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents (RoSPA) Order of Distinction for Occupational Safety and Health and the British Safety Council International Safety Award.



This year reiterates the need for a greater reliance on Business Units to fulfil aspects of the overall health and safety function and ensure that good health and safety practice is embedded within services.

Contents

Executive summary	1
List of Figures	1
1. Introduction	4
2. Health and safety priorities 2017/2018	5
3. Health and safety performance	6
3.1 Accidents and incidents	6
3.1.1 Accidents to employees	6
3.1.2 Accident to non-employees	9
3.1.3 Aggression and violence to employees	9
3.1.4 Specified injury analysis	12
3.2 Work related ill-health	12
3.3 Cost of accidents and incidents to and ill-health associated with work in employees	12
3.4 Risk assessment	13
3.5 Enforcement action against the Council	16
3.6 Health and safety audits	16
3.7 Employers' liability claims	18
3.8 Employee Survey 2017	18
4. Health and safety performance assessment	19
4.1 Review of health and safety performance	19
4.2 Health and safety priorities for 2018/2019	21

List of figures

1. Reported accidents by Directorate	8
2. Causes of accidents, part of body injured and type of injury	8
3. Incidents of violence and aggression by Directorate	9
4. Types of incidents of violence and aggression	11
5. Part of body injured in incidents of violence and aggression	11
6. Type of injury in incidents of violence and aggression	11
7. Comparison of absences attributed to mental/emotional wellbeing and musculoskeletal related ill health from 2013/2014 to 2017/2018	12
8. Risk assessment analysis - responses provided to the question "had a risk assessment been carried out for the activity undertaken prior to the accident?" and the question "has a risk assessment been reviewed/developed for the activity undertaken after the accident?"	15
9. Results of health and safety audits and commonly recurring opportunities for improvement	17
10. Employers' Liability Claims 2012/2013 to 2017/2018	18
11. Analysis of ongoing employers' liability claims by alleged cause	18
12. Progress against priorities/targets/identified opportunities for improvement	19/20

1 Introduction

Performance management is integral to good business practice. The aim of this annual Health and Safety Report is to assist in the continuous improvement of health and safety within the Council. The Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service extends its thanks to the Financial Services for their assistance and contribution to the compilation of this Report. In addition, the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service extends its gratitude to all Directorates, Business Units, Services and employees at all levels for their continued efforts, assistance and contribution to the Council's health and safety record.

There are legal, moral and business reasons for managing health and safety in a suitable and sufficient manner. The overall health and safety function within the Council involves all employees at all levels. United Kingdom health and safety legislation requires organisations to ensure the health, safety and welfare of their employees and others who may be affected by their work activities. The general duties are contained within the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974. The Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 reinforce the general duties contained within the 1974 Act. As their name suggests these Regulations relate directly to the management of health and safety and require that various measures be taken. The Regulations detail requirements for arrangements to be in place to manage operations with regard to health and safety. The Health and Safety Executive develop and issue 'Approved Codes of Practice' that detail how organisations can comply with their corresponding Regulations.

By its nature work cannot be entirely hazard free. However, it can be managed to minimise risks and the effects on employees and the Council. Therefore the Council needs robust management systems to ensure that it manages health and safety in an appropriate and proportionate manner.

The Council has a fully documented health and safety management system that also encompasses emergency resilience, which is based on the nationally accepted standards produced by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) (HSG65 '*Managing for health and safety*') and the British Standards Institution (BS 18001:2007 '*Occupational health and safety management systems - specification*'). In November 2016 the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service's accreditation to the British Standard for occupational health and safety management BS OHSAS 18001:2007 – Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems – Specification was once again confirmed for application of the Council's occupational health and safety management system.

2 Health and safety priorities 2017/2018

To focus health, safety and emergency resilience efforts, performance targets were set for 2017/2018 based on the Council's performance in 2016/2017. The Corporate Health and Safety Policy includes the targets that:

1. All Business Units and/or Services will implement the Council's Occupational Health and Safety Management System to a standard that would meet the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service's "Good" rating upon audit, with an increased good rating of 90%.
2. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will produce all required risk assessments.
3. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will have an action plan to implement the health and safety competencies detailed in Section 8 of the Corporate Health and Safety Policy.

In addition a number of opportunities for improvement were identified in the 2016/2017 Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Report:

1. Reporting of near misses is far lower than reasonably expected
2. The majority of reported accidents have basic causes indicating the need to focus on basic health and safety management
3. Reports of violence and aggression account for the majority of incidents reported

3 Health and safety performance¹

3.1 Accidents and incidents

Accident and incident data provides 'reactive' information on the health and safety performance of the Council. In addition, the collation, and as necessary subsequent reporting, of accident data is a requirement of the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (in addition to the general requirements of the Social Security (Claims and Payments) Regulations 1979. In this report accidents are defined as 'separate, identifiable, unintended incidents, which cause physical injury (accident) or could have caused injury (near miss)'.

3.1.1 Accidents to employees

Figure 1 below details the number of accidents by Directorate, indicates the reporting rate of accidents and compares the Council incident rate to national data published by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE). Figure 2 then categorises the accidents by cause, injury and part of body injured.

Several key points arise from the information in Figure 1 (see data with superscript references):

- (1) Days lost in 2017/2018 show a 74% increase on 2016/2017. One school based incident resulted in 142 days lost and three further incidents together accounted for 188 days of absence – these four absences together accounting for 330 days lost (58.5%) were all as a result of slips, trips and falls. The RIDDOR reportable accidents accounted for 544 days lost with RIDDOR recordable accidents accounting for a further 6 days (giving an overall RIDDOR recordable/reportable loss of 550 days). The remaining lost time incidents account for the balance of 14 days.
- (2) National incident rate figures for the total number of accidents are unavailable due to the HSE only compiling figures for accidents reportable to the enforcing authority under the RIDDOR legislation. The HSE's extrapolated incident rate is calculated by "scaling up" the HSE's annually published estimated figure for the reporting of accidents. The latest available national data is for 2017 and the HSE acknowledge that "it is known that non-fatal injuries to employees are substantially under-reported by employers, with current levels of reporting estimated at around a half" (<http://www.hse.gov.uk/statistics/causinj/index.htm> and <http://www.hse.gov.uk/statistics/tables/index.htm#riddor> (RIDHIST table) both accessed 12th June 2018). To allow comparison with the Council's data in which due to robust absence management policies the assumed level of over 7-day accident reporting is 100%, the HSE figure is doubled.
- (3) Whilst the Council's over 7 day incident rate is below the HSE national figure and this would be expected to continue in future years, some fluctuation in accident numbers and rates should be expected. Whilst the Council employs people and continues to directly provide a full range of services, there will be accidents and ill health and therefore a natural plateauing of performance should be expected.
- (4) In addition, for every 1 RIDDOR accident a further 189 near miss accidents would be expected. Application of this indicates that for the 17 RIDDOR reportable/recordable accidents reported in 2017/2018, 3,213 no injury 'near misses' accidents would *statistically* be expected (which although a high number equates to around 1 for each employee per year). However, only 9 such accidents were reported in 2017/2018 indicating a continued significant under-reporting of such incidents in the Council (and only a slight increase on the 6 reported in 2016/2017 despite services actively being encouraged to report these incidents and the need for this continuing to

¹ Performance data excludes other Council associated organisations (Berneslai Homes, NPS(Barnsley)) and academy/trust schools.

be included in the health and safety training provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service). Therefore, an extremely valuable source of intelligence is being lost as analysis of the causes of near miss accidents would better inform risk control measures that would in turn lead to accident prevention. This highlights the need for reiteration of the need to report accidents/incidents rather than injuries – i.e. report any and all incidents which occur rather than solely those where an injury occurred. The processes are in place within the Council to report such incidents and the need for their reporting is included in the baseline health and safety training provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service. Therefore all departments are again actively requested at every opportunity to restate the need to report near miss accidents.

- (5) Whilst statistically in excess of 100% and although giving a degree of confidence in the level of accident reporting within the Council it would be unreliable to assume that all accidents are reported.

The causes of accidents and the injuries sustained detailed in Figure 2 stress the need for a “*back to basics*” approach to accident and incident prevention by the regular inspection of workplaces for hazards and risks and application of the risk control hierarchy – basic risk assessment and control. When considering the control measures required the ERCSP hierarchy must be considered by asking:

1. Can the work activity realising the hazards and risks be **eliminated**? If not,
2. Have the hazards and risks been **reduced**? If not,
3. Has exposure to the hazards and risks been **controlled**? If not,
4. Have appropriate **safe systems of work** been implemented, including safe working procedures and appropriate information, instruction and training? If not, and as a last resort,
5. Has appropriate **personal protective equipment** been issued?

However, albeit that the above control measures must be viewed as a hierarchy suitable and sufficient risk control measures are likely to be a combination of control measures.

Directorate	Reported accidents (received by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service)	Lost time accidents (i.e. those which resulted in an employee recording absence from work)	RIDDOR 'recordable' over 3 day accidents	RIDDOR 'reportable' over 7 day accidents	RIDDOR 'reportable' specified injury accidents	First aid accidents (i.e. those which resulted in an employee seeking first aid)	Medical treatment accidents (i.e. those which resulted in an employee attending hospital or their GP)	Days lost due to accidents
Communities	42 (54.2)	7	6	6 (7.7)	1	13	6	151
People	27 (51.3)	2	1	1 (1.9)	0	9	3	23
Place	32 (35.6)	6	5	5 (5.6)	0	5	9	175
Core	3 (5.2)	1	1	0 (0)	0	2	1	6
Public Health	1 (6.8)	0	0	0 (0)	0	0	0	0
Primary Schools	26 (14.7)	6	3	3 (1.7)	0	3	7	207
Secondary/ Through Schools	17 (18.7)	2	0	0 (0)	0	4	5	2
Total	148	24	16	15	1	36	31	564⁽¹⁾
Incident rate per 1,000 employees*	26.1	4.2	2.8	2.6	0.17	6.4	5.5	
			HSE published RIDDOR reportable over 7 day incident rate⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	3.96				
Accident reporting rate	131		17			119		110%
	Minor accidents (total – RIDDOR)		Number of individual accidents which were RIDDOR recordable and/or reportable (i.e. excluding the duplications where a recordable accident was in addition reportable)			Expected number of minor accidents (where for every 1 RIDDOR recordable/reportable accident, 7 minor injury accidents would be expected) ⁽⁴⁾		Accident reporting rate ⁽⁵⁾ (reported minor accidents v. expected minor accidents)
2016/2017	151 (23.4)	23	14 (2.2)	7 (1.1)	0 (0)	59	23	323
2015/2016	159 (25.8)	37	25 (3.9)	20 (3.2)	2 (0.3)	39	34	721

Figure 1: reported accidents by Directorate (with where applicable incident rates per 1,000 employees in parentheses)

$$* \text{ Incident Rate} = \frac{\text{Total Number of Accidents}}{\text{Number of Persons Employed}} \times \text{Unit Number of Employees (1,000)}$$

Main cause of accident	Number of accidents	Part of body	Number of accidents	Type of injury	Number of accidents
Slipped, tripped or fell on the same level	59	Lower Limb	42	Sprain/ Strain	50
Hit by a moving, flying or falling object	31	Upper Limb	41	Bump/ Bruising	44
Hit by something fixed/stationary	23	Back/Trunk	24	Cut/ Laceration	19
Injured while handling, lifting or carrying	16	Head	11	Burn	10
Road Traffic Collision	7	No Injury/near miss	9	No injury/near miss	9
Use of equipment/machinery	4	Abdomen	7	Break/fracture	5
Hazardous Substance	2	Face	6	Other	5
Contact with electricity	2	Eyes	6	Distress	3
Injured by an animal	2	Neck	2	Dislocation	1
Hit by moving vehicle	1			Needlestick/sharps injury	1
Needlestick/sharps injury	1			Bite	1
				Foreign body	1
Total	148	Total	148	Total	148

Figure 2: causes of accidents, part of body injured and type of injury

3.1.2 Accidents to non-employees

The Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 additionally require reports to be made in relation to ‘persons not at work’: accidents to members of the public or others who are not at work must be reported if they result in an injury and the person is taken directly from the scene of the accident to hospital for treatment to that injury. Accidents are only reportable if they happen ‘out of or in connection with work’. The fact that there is an accident at work premises does not, in itself, mean that the accident is work-related – the work activity itself must contribute to the accident. In 2017/2018 6 such accidents were recorded:

- 3 in primary schools
- 2 in secondary schools
- 1 Economic Regeneration (town centre incident)

3.1.3 Aggression and violence to employees

Violent incidents are defined as:

- Any intentional acts that cause apprehension, fear, psychological or physical injury to an employee arising out of or in connection with their authorised duties
- The deliberate damage to the property or belongings of an employee that is attributable to the carrying out of duties on behalf of the Council.

The Council’s violent incident categories are: physical violence, aggression, verbal, sexual or racial abuse, and intentional damage to property.

Figure 3 below details the number of incidents of violence and aggression by Directorate. Figures 4, 5 and 6 then categorises the accidents by type of incident, part of body injured and injury.

Directorate	Reported incidents of violence and aggression	Days lost due to incidents of violence and aggression
Core	2 (3.5)	0
Communities	33 (42.6)	0
People	35 (66.5)	53
Place	7 (7.8)	0
Primary Schools	74 (41.8)	3
Secondary/Through Schools	7 (7.7)	1
Total	158 (27.9)	57
<i>2016/2017</i>	<i>205 (31.8)</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>2015/2016</i>	<i>200 (32.4)</i>	<i>197</i>

Figure 3: incidents of violence and aggression by Directorate (with where applicable incident rates per 1,000 employees in parentheses)

Several key points arise from the information in Figure 3:

- (1) In People 53 days were lost due to one particular incident where a social worker was kicked by a client (this incident was RIDDOR reportable).
- (2) A decrease in the reported number of violent incidents in 2017/2018, with reported violent incidents decreasing by a total of 42 from 2016/2017.

- (3) A decrease in days lost due to incidents of violence and aggression decreasing from 69 from to 57 (with a single incident accounting for 93% of absence).
- (4) There is a higher incidence of 'violent' incidents within Communities, People and schools. This disparity reflects the nature of the work carried out and encountering some of the Council's most challenging clients. This reiterates the need for an assessment to be carried out on clients of the Council when receiving any service ranging from social care to compulsory education to ascertain their requirements from *both* the client's and employee's perspective. There is a need for ensuring that the resources available for clients are appropriate to their needs, whilst also ensuring that the safety of employees, who are entrusted with the provision of services for these clients, is not compromised.

Again key points arise from the information in Figures 4, 5 and 6:

- (1) Over a quarter of incidents (26.6%) involved a degree of physical violence with over two-thirds involving aggression (69.0%). Cumulatively aggression and physical violence account for 95.6% of reported incidents. On the assumption (that cannot other than anecdotally be demonstrated) that verbal abuse is more prevalent than aggression and physical violence this does suggest an under-reporting of incidents and indicates that reports are only made when more serious. The Council has a firm 'zero tolerance' policy towards violence and aggression to staff that has been used effectively in 2017/2018 in holding perpetrators to account. However, this policy needs to be reiterated to clients, customers, service users etc. by front-line services and incidents reported so that valuable information can be utilised in the risk assessment process.
- (2) Less than one-third (32.2%) of incidents resulted in no injury/impact on the employee.
- (3) A decrease in days lost due to incidents of violence and aggression decreasing from 69 from to 57 (with a single incident accounting for 93% of absence).

Directorate	Aggression	Harassment	Physical violence	Sexual harassment	Verbal Abuse	Intentional Damage to Property	Racial harassment	Total
Core	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
Communities	28	0	1	1	2	0	1	33
People	20	0	15	0	0	0	0	35
Place	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	6
Primary Schools	54	0	20	0	0	0	0	74
Secondary/Through Schools	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
Total/Overall	109	1	42	2	2	0	2	158

Figure 4: types of incidents of violence and aggression

Part of body	Number of incidents
No Injury	51
Upper Limb	42
Head/Face	29
Multiple Injuries	15
Back/Trunk	11
Lower Limb	10
Total	158

Figure 5: part of body injured in incidents of violence and aggression

Type of injury	Number of incidents
No physical injury	62
Bruise	33
Bite	22
Distress	18
Cut/Graze	15
Sprain / Strain	8
Total	158

Figure 6: type of injury in incidents of violence and aggression

3.1.4 Specified injury analysis

In 2017/2018 one specified injury to a Council employee was recorded. In this instance a member of school staff slipped in the school hall resulting in an upper limb fracture (and 67 days of absence). The recording of specified injuries is based upon the outcome of an accident rather than the route cause. Therefore an element of providence is involved in the occurrence of a specified injury. For example, the same simple slip or trip may result in a range of outcomes and therefore focussing on the outcome rather than the cause of the accident misses what should be the crux of the matter.

3.2 Work related ill-health

For several years data relating to work-related ill-health have been included in Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Reports. However, sickness absence data is comprehensively reported via the Council's Performance Management Framework. As data may vary depending on the date it was extracted from databases, where data is shown in different reports there may therefore be inconsistencies between published data. Therefore detailed information relating to sickness absence will now only be included in Performance Management reports. However, for comparative purposes broad-brush data is shown below in Figure 7.

As with accidents and incidents it is accepted that the collation of work related ill health statistics can assist in improving health and safety within an organisation. The Council records the reported reasons for employee absences. Of the categories of absence reported it is considered that those concerning musculoskeletal illness and mental/emotional wellbeing issues are most likely to be associated with some aspect of work – that is not to state that these illnesses are caused by work but acknowledging that work may either directly or indirectly be associated with the illnesses, which indeed may be wholly attributable to factors outside work. However, regardless of the root cause the issue manifests itself at work and still leads to absence.

Year	Total absence days attributed to mental/emotional wellbeing related ill health	Number of absences attributed to mental/emotional wellbeing related ill health	Total absence days attributed to musculoskeletal related ill health	Number of absences attributed to musculoskeletal related ill health	Total absence days	Total number of absences
2013/2014	23,490	909	10,690	937	34,180	1,746
2014/2015	24,022	1,122	10,212	882	34,234	2,004
2015/2016	11,745	250	8,796	317	20,541	567
2016/2017	12,529	637	6,936	671	19,465	1,308
2017/2018	12,404	404	8,367	406	20,771	810

Figure 7: comparison of absences attributed to mental/emotional wellbeing and musculoskeletal related ill health from 2013/2014 to 2017/2018

3.3 Cost of accidents and incidents to and ill health associated with work in employees

It is possible to work out the approximate total cost to the Council of days off due to accidents at work in any given period. For 2017/2018 the direct salary cost due to employee absence following accidents and incidents of violence and aggression at work is:

Median ² salary scale point		'Add on' costs		Total Cost to Authority
SCP 25 – Grade 5		Superannuation and National Insurance		Salary, superannuation and National Insurance
£22,658	+	£5,331	=	£27,989 per year

Therefore:

£27,989	/	365 days	=	£76.68 average cost per day
£76.68	x	621 lost days	=	£47,618

In considering this figure it should be noted that the unmeasurable costs of accidents are estimated by the Health and Safety Executive to be an average of 10 times the measurable costs. However, it is important not to overlook the cost avoidance of reductions in accidents. In 1999 the number of days lost within the Council due to accidents was 4052 days. Based on the reductions in days lost in comparison with 2017/2018 and the average cost per day lost, this now represents an avoidance of 3,431 days per year and an associated direct cost avoidance of £263,089 in the year 2017/2018 alone.

With data regarding days lost due to ill health available it is possible to calculate the cost of ill health and hence an overall cost of accidents and occupationally related ill health within the Council. Albeit that this is not an additional cost to the Council, this may be viewed as a production loss with staff unavailable to undertake their duties. Based on the number of days lost due to *potentially* occupational ill health (20,771) the cost of the absence may be estimated at: £1,592,720.

3.4 Risk assessment

Risk assessment is a specific legal requirement of health and safety legislation and the basic building-block of the fundamental health and safety principle of the implementation of a safe system of work. The purpose of the risk assessment is to identify reasonable control measures to mitigate reasonably foreseeable risks. The Council's internal accident recording form (HS2(E)) Report of an accident to an employee) includes details of the risk assessments that relate to the work activities being undertaken at the time of the accident. The form asks managers and supervisors "had a risk assessment been carried out for the activity undertaken prior to the accident?" with a simple 'yes/no' response being given. Subsequently the form asks "has a risk assessment been reviewed/developed for the activity undertaken after the accident?" The response to these questions is logged by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service and is integral to its accident/incident recording and monitoring function, i.e. was there a risk assessment before the accident and after the accident was this reviewed or as necessary developed?

The information provided by managers and supervisors on the HS2(E) form has been collated below in Figure 8 which highlights:

- (1) A risk assessment had been undertaken for the work activity being carried out prior to the accident in 76% of incidents reported (83% corporately (increased from 77%) and 60% in schools (decreased from 69%)), an increase on the 74% reported in 2016/2017.
- (2) In 54% of accidents the risk assessments was not initially reviewed thus indicating a lack of

² The median salary used for this purpose relates to the Council's former 11 grade salary structure to allow direct comparison with information relating to prior to April 2014 (when the Council's current 17 grade salary structure was introduced).

'learning from the experience'. It is only by the review and as necessary revision of the risk assessment that action can be taken to prevent recurrence of the incident.

Whilst an improvement on 2016/2017 is recorded, the reasons for managers/supervisors providing a negative response to questioning regarding the existence of risk assessments remain the same as those reported in past reports:

1. Managers/supervisors do not understand the importance of full and accurate completion of the accident form (the form becomes a disclosable document in the event of enforcement action or civil proceedings)
2. Time pressures mean that managers/supervisors do not check the existence of the risk assessments or know they exist
3. The documents do not exist (some evidence identified as part of audit would support this, see section 3.7)

The consequences of not carrying out risk assessments may include:

- Prosecution/enforcement action due to breach of statutory duty
- An increased risk of injury/ill health to employees and others who may be affected by the Council's activities
- Increased losses to the Council
- Decreased ability to defend any civil actions brought against the Council

In view of this Business Units are requested to review their need for and application of risk assessment to ensure that the risks of all tasks undertaken by employees have been considered and reasonable precautions taken.

Directorate	Pre-accident Risk Assessment				Post-accident Risk Assessment			
	Number of accidents where a risk assessment was indicated as being completed for the activity prior to the accident	Percentage of accidents where a risk assessment was indicated as being completed for the activity prior to the accident	Number of accidents where a risk assessment was not indicated as being completed for the activity prior to the accident	Percentage of accidents where a risk assessment was not indicated as being completed for the activity prior to the accident	Number of accidents where, following the accident, a risk assessment was completed/ reviewed for the activity being undertaken prior to the accident	Percentage of accidents where, following the accident, a risk assessment was completed/ reviewed for the activity being undertaken prior to the accident	Number of accidents where, following the accident, a risk assessment was not completed/ reviewed for the activity being undertaken prior to the accident	Percentage of accidents where, following the accident, a risk assessment was not completed/ reviewed for the activity being undertaken prior to the accident
Communities	36	86%	6	14%	15	36%	27	64%
People	23	85%	4	15%	20	74%	7	26%
Place	27	84%	5	16%	18	56%	14	44%
Core	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
Public Health	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Corporate subtotal	87	83%	18	17%	53	51%	52	49%
Primary Schools	15	58%	11	42%	7	27%	19	73%
Secondary Schools	4	44%	5	56%	3	33%	6	67%
Through School	7	88%	1	12%	5	63%	3	37%
Schools subtotal	26	60%	17	40%	15	35%	28	65%
Total/Overall	113	76%	35	24%	68	46%	80	54%
2015/2016	112	74%	39	26%	67	44%	84	56%

Figure 8: risk assessment analysis - responses provided to the question "had a risk assessment been carried out for the activity undertaken prior to the accident?" and the question "has a risk assessment been reviewed/developed for the activity undertaken after the accident?"

3.5 Enforcement action against the Council

During 2017/2018 the Council has not been issued with any formal notices or been the subject of any prosecutions from any of the enforcing authorities, namely the Health and Safety Executive (HSE, including notice of contravention), the Environment Agency or South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service. However, any and all incidents could potentially result in some form of investigation and Business Units should always remain prepared to support any investigation as necessary.

3.6 Health and safety audits

Audit data provides 'active' information on the health and safety performance of the Council. During 2017/2018 the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service has continued to undertake a programme of health and safety audits. All audits carried out by the Service produced a score judged against pre-determined criteria. The scores achieving each category are:

- Grade A – good – 90% or above: the Business Unit and/or Service or school have/has achieved a satisfactory standard in managing health and safety with only a few improvements required (i.e. very few or no gaps/weaknesses exist and controls are effective).
- Grade B – improving – 70 – 89%: the Business Unit and/or Service or school is not achieving an acceptable level of managing health and safety with many improvements required (i.e. some minor gaps/weaknesses exist but generally strengths outweigh weaknesses and controls are generally effective).
- Grade C – less than satisfactory – below 70%: the Business Unit and/or Service or school have/has very serious weaknesses in the management of health and safety with significant improvements to be made within six months.

When viewing the standards achieved it must be borne in mind that the ultimately acceptable standard of health and safety management must be compliance with the Council's standards for the management of health and safety and hence close to 100%. Figure 9 below shows the results of audits undertaken in 2017/2018 and the commonly recurring opportunities for improvement identified.

Standard achieved	Corporate		Schools		Overall	
	Number of audits achieving the standard	Percentage of audits achieving the standard	Number of audits achieving the standard	Percentage of audits achieving the standard	Number of audits achieving the standard	Percentage of audits achieving the standard
Good	10	67%	16	100%	26	83.9%
Improving	3	20%	0	0%	3	9.7%
Less than satisfactory	2	13%	0	0%	2	6.4%
Total	15	100%	16	100%	31	100%
Common opportunities for improvement	1. The service to have action plan(s) to implement the targets in the health and safety policy.		1. All appropriate COSHH assessments need to be obtained and kept up-to-date.			
	2. Systems to ensure that a review is undertaken of the applicable health and safety standards and their associated management procedures.		2. All employees who may be required to undertake work that may involve lone working need to be provided with suitable information, instruction and training on the hazards and risks involved and the appropriate control measures.			
	3. Set general performance targets for health and safety.		3. All risk assessments need to be signed/dated by the 'responsible person'.			
	4. Compliance monitoring programme to be developed and implemented for the service to reflect applicable health and safety standards.		4. Relevant employees (e.g. Premises Managers) need to attend the appropriate health and safety training course (e.g. IOSH Working Safely) equivalent.			
	5. Risk Assessments to be developed, implemented, signed and dated.		5. A building security policy needs to be developed for the premises. It should be signed, dated and an associated risk assessment produced with an action plan of required control measures.			

Figure 9: results of health and safety audits and commonly recurring opportunities for improvement

3.7 Employers' liability claims (information provided by Finance)

Whilst not necessarily directly a reflection of health and safety performance claims by current/former employees against the Council for injury/ill health allegedly caused/contributed to by the Council are a useful measure. During 2017/2018 the Council received a total of 21 employers' liability claims, which represents a decrease of 2 claims from the 23 received in 2016/2017. Figure 10 shows the annual number of claims received over the last 5 years.

Year	Number of accident claims	Number of disease claims	Total claims
2013/2014	21	40	61
2014/2015	20	14	34
2015/2016	14	19	33
2016/2017	11	12	23
2017/2018	15	6	21

Figure 10: Employers' Liability Claims 2012/2013 to 2017/2018

Of the 23 claims reported during 2017/2018, 15 have resulted from accidents in the workplace with the remaining 6 being industrial disease claims. The total estimated cost of the reported in year claims was £524,835. This represents an overall increase of £66,740 over costs established in 2016/2017. Inclusive of the 2017/2018 movements, the Council currently have 83 ongoing employers' liability claims with total estimated reserves of £1,363,809. Of these claims 32 have resulted from accidents, 51 from industrial diseases. Figure 11 shows a breakdown of these claims by alleged cause/type.

Alleged cause of claim	Number of claims
Noise induced hearing loss	42
Accident	32
Mesothelioma/asbestosis	5
Hand/arm vibration syndrome and/or vibration white finger	4

Figure 11: Analysis of ongoing employers' liability claims by alleged cause.

3.8 Employee survey 2017

The Council's biennial employee survey was undertaken in late 2017 and included two health and safety specific questions:

1. The health and safety of employees is given a high priority? This produced an overall positive response in 67.4% of responders.
2. I know what I need to do in my role to minimise health and safety risks? This produced an overall positive response in 92.4% of responders.

Whilst the majority of responses were positive there are opportunities for improvement. The second question relates directly to the comments in this report relating to employee competence and ensuring that employees are competent to undertake their role and minimise health and safety risks. In relation to the first question it would be simple to conclude that this is solely attributable to managers. However, all employees at all levels are inherent to making health and safety a high priority.

4 Health and safety performance assessment

4.1 Review of health and safety performance

Based on the information outlined above in section 3, Figure 12 below considers the Council's health and safety performance in 2017/2018 in comparison to the targets set.

Priority in 2017/2018 [source]	Progress	Action required in 2018/2019
1. All Business Units and/or Services will implement the Council's Occupational Health and Safety Management System to a standard that would meet the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service's "Good" rating upon audit, with an increased good rating of 90%. [Corporate Health and Safety Policy 2017/2018]	The audit scores highlight that this priority has not been met.	The audit programme will continue during 2018/2019 and each previous audit has produced a prioritised plan of action to allow this target to be met. This target requires Business Units and services to implement the Council's occupational health and safety management system in a proportionate manner to the risks they face.
2. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will produce all required risk assessments. [Corporate Health and Safety Policy 2017/2018]	The year has seen an increase in overall performance from 74% to 76%, with the corporate compliance at 83% (increased from 77%). Whilst an improvement has been this is short of the 85% target set.	This target requires Business Units and services to implement the Council's occupational health and safety management system in a proportionate manner to the risks they face.
3. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will have an action plan to implement the health and safety competencies detailed in Section 8 of the Corporate Health and Safety Policy. [Corporate Health and Safety Policy 2017/2018]	Based on the audit scores and the number of audits not achieving a satisfactory rating this has not initially been achieved. However, each audit produces a prioritised plan of action to allow, as necessary, this target to be met.	When implementing the Council's performance and development review process, services should include necessary competencies relating to health and safety. Training that supports achievement of this is provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service.
4. Reporting of near misses is far lower than reasonably expected. [Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Report 2016/2017]	Near miss reporting remains below that which would be expected.	The need for near miss reporting is included in the training provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service and the Council's occupational health and safety management system. It is proposed to run a specific campaign relating to the reporting of both near misses and incidents of violence and aggression during 2018/2019.

<p>5. The majority of reported accidents have basic causes indicating the need to focus on basic health and safety management. [Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Report 2016/2017]</p>	<p>Based on the risk assessment compliance highlighted above there are opportunities for improvement in the elimination and mitigation of hazards and risks.</p>	<p>Risk management is the holistic aim of the Council's occupational health and safety management system and requires. Implementation of the system should support services to identify and control risks.</p>
<p>6. Reports of violence and aggression account for the majority of incidents reported. [Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Report 2016/2017]</p>	<p>Whilst incidents of violence and aggression remain the largest source of incident reports the reports received are skewed towards more serious incidents and may be indicative of an under-reporting of less serious incidents including those of verbal abuse.</p>	<p>The need for violence and aggression reporting is included in the training provided by the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service and the Council's occupational health and safety management system. It is proposed to run a specific campaign relating to the reporting of both near misses and incidents of violence and aggression during 2018/2019.</p>

Figure 12: progress against priorities/targets/identified opportunities for improvement

Whilst the above is intentionally critical with a view to continuous improvement, the Council has progressively improved and/or maintained its health and safety performance over many years. In recognition of this the Council has for the third time been awarded the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents (RoSPA) [now second highest with the introduction of the Patron's Award] achievement award for occupational health and safety – the Order of Distinction 2018. In addition the Council has again been awarded an International Safety Award, by the British Safety Council for 2018. Whilst this report highlights a number of opportunities for improvement these peer reviewed awards reflect the commitment by the Council to good standards of health and safety management and the efforts made by Business Units and services in this area.



4.2 Health and safety priorities for 2018/2019

Based on the above the health and safety priorities/targets for 2018/2019 will be:

1. All Business Units and/or Services will implement the Council's Occupational Health and Safety Management System to a standard that would meet the Health, Safety and Emergency Resilience Service's "Good" rating upon audit, with an increased good rating of 90%.
2. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will produce all required risk assessments.
3. Each Business Unit and/or Service (as appropriate) will have an action plan to implement the health and safety competencies detailed in Section 8 of the Corporate Health and Safety Policy.
4. To increase the number of reported 'near misses'
5. To increase the number of lower level incidents of violence and aggression
6. To develop and implement an action plan to address the opportunities for improvement in the Employee Survey 2017

Health and safety priorities may also be reflective of legislative changes in 2018/2019. Health and safety legislation is issued in April and October of each year. In line with the Government's drive to reduce the 'burden' on organisations of legislation (including health and safety) minimal health and safety legislation has been issued in 2017/2018. However, events of national significance in 2017 do have bearing on health and safety standards both in terms of fire safety and the safety of public spaces, particularly 'crowded places'. During 2018 a revised programme of fire risk assessments will be implemented in the Council along with enhanced assurance with regard to the standard of life safety fire risk assessments undertaken. In addition, revised considerations for mitigating against possible attacks on public spaces both in general and specifically at events will continue to be implemented in 2018/2019.